

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,588	09/15/2003	Sven Schreder	MERCK-2168D1	8058
23599 7590 08/13/2007 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G	
	SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	1 4 11 11 11	1.2
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,588	SCHREDER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phyllis G. Spivack	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
,		ONTU(S) OR THIRTY (20) DAVS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	June 2007.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 9-16</u> is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. &	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	g. p. o y an ac. co c. c. c. g	() () () () () ()
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.
	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/661,588

Art Unit: 1614

Applicants' Amendment and Terminal Disclaimer filed June 6, 2007 are acknowledged. Claims 1, 3-6 and 9-16 remain under consideration.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Rejections not reiterated from previous Office Actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejection is newly applied. It constitutes the only rejection presently applied to the instant claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reynolds et al., U.S. Patent 3,808,332, in view of Lindenbaum, E. al., WO 93/04691.

Reynolds teaches a combination of L-thyroxine and L-triiodothyronine that are physically admixed. Therefore, no organic solvent residues are present. See column 7, lines 65-67. See Composition I, column 7, where cornstarch is employed as a filler, and Composition J, where lactose and microcrystalline cellulose are employed as fillers. As required by instant claim 3, Reynolds teaches a concentration range of I-thyroxine of 100-300 mcg. Fillers such as lactose, maize starch and microcrystalline cellulose are conventional excipients. Reynolds fails to include gelatin in the combination. However, Lindenbaum teaches pharmaceutical preparations comprising levothyroxine or triiodothyronine and gelatin that are manufactured in solid forms, such as creams or powders, without organic solvents. See claims 13 and 19, as well as page 17, lines 16-

18. A micronized form of levothyroxine with a particle size between 5 and 25 μm is conventional.

Therefore, in view of the combined teachings of Reynolds and Lindenbaum, one skilled in the art of formulation chemistry would have been motivated to prepare pharmaceutical formulations comprising L-thyroxine and, optionally, triiodothyronine, utilizing gelatin, in a solid form without organic solvents. Further, if Applicants contend that the additional material in the prior art, e.g., potassium iodide, is excluded by the recitation "consisting essentially of," Applicants have the burden of showing that the additional component would materially change the characteristics of Applicants' invention. *In re De Lajarte*, 337 F.2d 870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA 1964).

Schreder et al., U.S. Patent 6,491,946, is provided as evidence that pharmaceutical preparations comprising a micronized form of levothyroxine have a particle size between 5 and 25 µm. See column 2, lines 15-21.

Claims 1 and 3-6 appear to be free of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-0585. The Examiner can normally be reached from 10:30 to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful after one business day, the Examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,588

Art Unit: 1614

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 7, 2007

Phyllis G. Spivack

1614

PHYLLIS SPIVACK PRIMARY EXAMINER